

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,208	05/31/2006	Holger Ceskutti	10191/4584	7533
26646 7590 03/15/2010 KENYON & KENYON LLP ONE BROADWAY			EXAMINER	
			CHEN, QING	
NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2191	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/15/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/564,208 CESKUTTI ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Qina Chen 2191 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 May 2006. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 17-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 17-36 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 06 January 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20060106, 20070802, 20091002, 20100226.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/564,208 Page 2

Art Unit: 2191

DETAILED ACTION

This is the initial Office action based on the application filed on May 31, 2006.

Claims 17-36 are pending.

Claims 1-16 have been canceled.

Priority

 Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because the unlabeled rectangular boxes shown in the drawings should be provided with descriptive text labels. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR

1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the Examiner, the Applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claims 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 25 and 26 recite the limitation "the second date." There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims. In the interest of compact prosecution, the Examiner subsequently interprets this limitation as reading "the second data" for the purpose of further examination.

Claims 27 and 28 depend on Claim 26 and, therefore, suffer the same deficiency as

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art as such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 17, 19, 21, 23, and 29-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 2002/0019877 (hereinafter "Wrede") in view of US 2002/0035429 (hereinafter "Banas").

As per Claim 17, Wrede discloses:

- (a) remotely transmitting program data from a control station via a long-distance connection to an interface connected to the device (see Figure 1; Paragraph [0022], "In this case, the central transmitting terminal [control station] is constructed having a transmitting and/or receiving device ZKE. The motor vehicle 2 has a communications device, i.e. transmitting and/or receiving device KE, which is able to receive the transmitted data. Communications device KE can be constructed, for example, in the form of a car radio (reception only) or a mobile telephone (bi-directional) or a special telecommunications device having a transceiver which is permanently installed in the vehicle.");

Application/Control Number: 10/564,208 I
Art Unit: 2191

- (b) buffering the program data at the interface (see Paragraph [0023], "Communications device KE routes the transmitted program data via a data bus DB1 to a

central vehicle computer ZFR [interface], in which the data is checked, recorded and

buffered.");

- (c) remotely transmitting a legitimization from the control station to the interface (see Paragraph [0022], "If, for example, improved software is to be given to a control unit on the vehicle side, a corresponding data transmission is carried out from an authorized transmitting terminal of the manufacturer, which in FIG. 1, is constructed as central transmitting terminal 1."; Paragraph [0026], "As a further prerequisite for the reprogramming of a control unit, it is advantageous if an identification code for the new program, which was sent to the central vehicle computer, be compared to an identification code of the original software stored in a

(d) forwarding the legitimization, unbuffered, to the device (see Paragraph [0026],
"As a further prerequisite for the reprogramming of a control unit, it is advantageous if an
identification code for the new program, which was sent to the central vehicle computer, be
compared to an identification code of the original software stored in a control unit SG [device]
and exchanged, respectively.");

control unit SG and exchanged, respectively.");

- (e) checking, by the device, the legitimization for validity (see Paragraph [0026], "Only when both identification codes have been checked by the central vehicle computer and/or the specific control unit and been established in a precisely defined manner as coinciding is the programming operation enabled."); and

Art Unit: 2191

- (f) if the legitimization is determined to be valid, entering the program data in the device (see Paragraph [0023], "Central vehicle computer ZFR is connected via a second bi-directional data bus DB2 to the peripheral control units on the user side actually to be programmed, i.e. destination control units SG1, SG2, etc., for the data or program exchange.").

However, Wrede does not disclose:

- the device includes a memory.

Banas discloses:

- a device includes a memory (see Paragraph [0013], "FIG. 1 illustrates an electronic control system 10 for a vehicle 12 (FIG. 2). The system 10 generally includes a processor 12, a reprogrammable memory 14, an input interface 16, an output interface 18, and a receiver 20 having an antenna 22.").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of <u>Banas</u> into the teaching of Wrede to modify Wrede's invention to include entering the program data in a memory of the device. The modification would be obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to store data/instructions of the device in a memory and execute the stored data/instructions by a processor.

As per Claim 19, the rejection of Claim 17 is incorporated; and Wrede further discloses:

wherein at least one of the legitimization and the program data is wirelessly
transmitted via the long-distance connection (see Figure 1; Paragraph [0022], "In this case, the
central transmitting terminal is constructed having a transmitting and/or receiving device ZKE.

The motor vehicle 2 has a communications device, i.e. transmitting and/or receiving device KE, which is able to receive the transmitted data. Communications device KE can be constructed, for example, in the form of a car radio (reception only) or a mobile telephone (bi-directional) or a special telecommunications device having a transceiver which is permanently installed in the vehicle.").

As per Claim 21, the rejection of Claim 19 is incorporated; and Wrede further discloses:

- wherein the method is repeated if a fault occurs in the wireless transmission of at least one of the legitimization and the program data (see Paragraph [0036], "However, if it is determined in step 104 that the control unit is not yet ready to receive, a jump is made back to step 103 where it is determined again whether the operating state of the motor vehicle still corresponds to the predefined operating state.").

As per Claim 23, the rejection of Claim 21 is incorporated; and Wrede further discloses:

- wherein at least one of the program data and the legitimization is forwarded via a wired connection from the interface to the device (see Paragraph [0023], "Central vehicle computer ZFR is connected via a second bi-directional data bus DB2 to the peripheral control units on the user side actually to be programmed, i.e. destination control units SG1, SG2, etc., for the data or program exchange.").

As per Claim 29, the rejection of Claim 23 is incorporated; and Wrede further discloses:

- after entering of the program data into the memory of the device, checking whether the remote programming has been successfully completed (see Paragraph [0029], "After reprogramming has been successfully concluded, reprogrammed control unit SG1, SG2, etc., sends an acknowledgment to the central vehicle computer, which passes on a corresponding acknowledgment to communications unit KE."), and

- if the remote programming has been successfully completed, resuming an operation of the device, controlled by the program data (see Paragraph [0024], "During the reprogramming, the control unit in question enters into a precisely defined standby state which cannot be changed during the programming process. A programming is expediently carried out as quickly as possible, e.g., within a few seconds."; Paragraph [0025], "So that no inexplicable delays arise for the driver or operator of the motor vehicle, a vehicle state is advantageously selected in which no inconvenience or disadvantages result for the driver during a programming."; Paragraph [0029], "After reprogramming has been successfully concluded, reprogrammed control unit SG1, SG2, etc., sends an acknowledgment to the central vehicle computer, which passes on a corresponding acknowledgment to communications unit KE.").

As per Claim 30, Wrede discloses:

an interface configured to receive program data and a legitimization (see Figure 1;
 Paragraph [0023], "Communications device KE routes the transmitted program data via a data bus DB1 to a central vehicle computer ZFR [interface], in which the data is checked, recorded and buffered."); and

- a device configured to be remotely programmed and program-controlled, wherein the device is operatively connected to the interface (see Paragraph [0023], "Central vehicle computer ZFR is connected via a second bi-directional data bus DB2 to the peripheral control units [device] on the user side actually to be programmed, i.e. destination control units SG1, SG2, etc., for the data or program exchange. For example, the engine management, an ABS system, an ELB system, an electronic stability program, an electrical pneumatic suspension, a transmission-shift control or a retarder control can be control units.");

- wherein the interface is configured to: a) buffer the received program data (see Paragraph [0023], "Communications device KE routes the transmitted program data via a data bus DB1 to a central vehicle computer ZFR, in which the data is checked, recorded and buffered."); b) forward the received legitimization to the device (see Paragraph [0026]. "As a further prerequisite for the reprogramming of a control unit, it is advantageous if an identification code for the new program, which was sent to the central vehicle computer, be compared to an identification code of the original software stored in a control unit SG [device] and exchanged, respectively."); and c) transmit the buffered program data to the device after a positive determination of validity of the legitimization by the device (see Paragraph [0023]. "Central vehicle computer ZFR is connected via a second bi-directional data bus DB2 to the peripheral control units on the user side actually to be programmed, i.e. destination control units SG1, SG2, etc., for the data or program exchange."; Paragraph [0026], "Only when both identification codes have been checked by the central vehicle computer and/or the specific control unit and been established in a precisely defined manner as coinciding is the programming operation enabled.").

However, Wrede does not disclose:

wherein the device includes a processor and a program memory.

Banas discloses:

- wherein a device includes a processor and a program memory (see Paragraph

[0013], "FIG. 1 illustrates an electronic control system 10 for a vehicle 12 (FIG. 2). The system 10 generally includes a processor 12, a reprogrammable memory 14, an input interface 16, an output interface 18, and a receiver 20 having an antenna 22.").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of <u>Banas</u> into the teaching of <u>Wrede</u> to modify <u>Wrede</u>'s invention to include wherein the device includes a processor and a program memory. The modification would be obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to store data/instructions of the device in a memory and execute the stored data/instructions by a

processor.

As per Claim 31, the rejection of Claim 30 is incorporated; however, <u>Wrede</u> does not disclose:

- wherein the program memory is one of a flash memory and an EEPROM.

Banas discloses:

- wherein a program memory is one of a flash memory and an EEPROM (see

Paragraph [0017], "Preferably, the reprogrammable memory 14 includes an EEPROM, flash non-volatile memory, and/or other memory which can be reprogrammed.").

Art Unit: 2191

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of <u>Banas</u> into the teaching of <u>Wrede</u> to modify <u>Wrede</u>'s invention to include wherein the program memory is one of a flash memory and an EEPROM. The modification would be obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to utilize a memory that can be reprogrammed (see <u>Banas</u> – <u>Paragraph</u> [0017]).

As per Claim 32, the rejection of Claim 30 is incorporated; and Wrede further discloses:

- wherein the interface is operatively connected to a control station with the aid of a wireless long-distance connection (see Paragraph [0022], "In this case, the central transmitting terminal [control station] is constructed having a transmitting and/or receiving device ZKE. The motor vehicle 2 has a communications device, i.e. transmitting and/or receiving device KE, which is able to receive the transmitted data. Communications device KE can be constructed, for example, in the form of a car radio (reception only) or a mobile telephone (bi-directional) or a special telecommunications device having a transceiver which is permanently installed in the vehicle.").

As per Claim 33, the rejection of Claim 30 is incorporated; and Wrede further discloses:

- wherein the interface receives the legitimization from a control station and forwards the legitimization to the device without buffering (see Paragraph [0022], "If, for example, improved software is to be given to a control unit on the vehicle side, a corresponding data transmission is carried out from an authorized transmitting terminal of the manufacturer, which in FIG. 1, is constructed as central transmitting terminal 1."; Paragraph [0026], "As a further

Art Unit: 2191

prerequisite for the reprogramming of a control unit, it is advantageous if an identification code for the new program, which was sent to the central vehicle computer, be compared to an identification code of the original software stored in a control unit SG and exchanged, respectively.").

As per Claim 34, the rejection of Claim 32 is incorporated; and Wrede further discloses:

- wherein the interface receives the legitimization from the control station and forwards the legitimization to the device without buffering (see Paragraph [0022], "If, for example, improved software is to be given to a control unit on the vehicle side, a corresponding data transmission is carried out from an authorized transmitting terminal of the manufacturer, which in FIG. 1, is constructed as central transmitting terminal 1."; Paragraph [0026], "As a further prerequisite for the reprogramming of a control unit, it is advantageous if an identification code for the new program, which was sent to the central vehicle computer, be compared to an identification code of the original software stored in a control unit SG and exchanged, respectively.").

As per Claim 35, the rejection of Claim 32 is incorporated; and Wrede further discloses:

- wherein the device is a control unit that controls a subsidiary device (see Figure 1; Paragraph [0022], "If, for example, improved software is to be given to a control unit on the vehicle side, a corresponding data transmission is carried out from an authorized transmitting terminal of the manufacturer, which in FIG. 1, is constructed as central transmitting terminal 1."). Application/Control Number: 10/564,208 Page 13

Art Unit: 2191

As per Claim 36, the rejection of Claim 35 is incorporated; and Wrede further discloses:

- wherein the subsidiary device is one of: a motor vehicle; a component of the motor vehicle; and an engine (see Figure 1; Paragraph [0022], "If, for example, improved software is to be given to a control unit on the vehicle side, a corresponding data transmission is carried out from an authorized transmitting terminal of the manufacturer, which in FIG. 1, is constructed as

 Claims 18, 20, 22, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wrede in view of Banas and US 2002/0129043 (hereinafter "Nakada").

As per Claim 18, Wrede discloses:

central transmitting terminal 1.").

- (a) remotely transmitting program data from a control station via a long-distance connection to an interface connected to the device (see Figure 1; Paragraph [0022], "In this case, the central transmitting terminal [control station] is constructed having a transmitting and/or receiving device ZKE. The motor vehicle 2 has a communications device, i.e. transmitting and/or receiving device KE, which is able to receive the transmitted data. Communications device KE can be constructed, for example, in the form of a car radio (reception only) or a mobile telephone (bi-directional) or a special telecommunications device having a transceiver which is permanently installed in the vehicle.");
- (b) buffering the program data at the interface (see Paragraph [0023],
 "Communications device KE routes the transmitted program data via a data bus DB1 to a

Application/Control Number: 10/564,208 Page 14

Art Unit: 2191

central vehicle computer ZFR [interface], in which the data is checked, recorded and buffered.");

- (c) remotely transmitting a legitimization from the control station to the interface (see Paragraph [0022], "If, for example, improved software is to be given to a control unit on the vehicle side, a corresponding data transmission is carried out from an authorized transmitting terminal of the manufacturer, which in FIG. 1, is constructed as central transmitting terminal 1."; Paragraph [0026], "As a further prerequisite for the reprogramming of a control unit, it is advantageous if an identification code for the new program, which was sent to the central vehicle computer, be compared to an identification code of the original software stored in a control unit SG and exchanged, respectively.");
- (d) forwarding the legitimization to the device (see Paragraph [0026], "As a further prerequisite for the reprogramming of a control unit, it is advantageous if an identification code for the new program, which was sent to the central vehicle computer, be compared to an identification code of the original software stored in a control unit SG [device] and exchanged, respectively,");
- (e) checking, by the device, the legitimization for validity (see Paragraph [0026],
 "Only when both identification codes have been checked by the central vehicle computer and/or the specific control unit and been established in a precisely defined manner as coinciding is the programming operation enabled."); and
- (f) if the legitimization is determined to be valid, entering the program data in the device (see Paragraph [0023], "Central vehicle computer ZFR is connected via a second bi-

directional data bus DB2 to the peripheral control units on the user side actually to be programmed, i.e. destination control units SG1, SG2, etc., for the data or program exchange.").

However, Wrede does not disclose:

- wherein the checking includes checking of a validity period of the legitimization; and
- the device includes a memory.

Nakada discloses:

wherein checking includes checking of a validity period of a legitimization (see
 Paragraph [0080], "After the central processing unit (CPU) Ia loads the program selected by

the selection means 2, the central processing unit (CPU) Ia reads the content of each program in the program management information 5 (111) and compares the date and time at that point

with the validity period information 12 (112).").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Nakada into the teaching of Wrede to modify Wrede's invention to include wherein the checking includes checking of a validity period of the legitimization. The modification would be obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to provide a security mechanism in which the program data does not install in the case where the validity period of the program data has expired (see Nakada – Paragraph [0076]).

Banas discloses:

 a device includes a memory (see Paragraph [0013], "FIG. 1 illustrates an electronic control system 10 for a vehicle 12 (FIG. 2). The system 10 generally includes a processor 12, a

reprogrammable memory 14, an input interface 16, an output interface 18, and a receiver 20 having an antenna 22.").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Banas into the teaching of Wrede to modify Wrede's invention to include entering the program data in a memory of the device. The modification would be obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to store data/instructions of the device in a memory and execute the stored data/instructions by a processor.

As per Claim 20, the rejection of Claim 18 is incorporated; and Wrede further discloses:

- wherein at least one of the legitimization and the program data is wirelessly transmitted via the long-distance connection (see Figure 1; Paragraph [0022], "In this case, the central transmitting terminal is constructed having a transmitting and/or receiving device ZKE. The motor vehicle 2 has a communications device, i.e. transmitting and/or receiving device KE, which is able to receive the transmitted data. Communications device KE can be constructed, for example, in the form of a car radio (reception only) or a mobile telephone (bi-directional) or a special telecommunications device having a transceiver which is permanently installed in the vehicle.").

As per Claim 22, the rejection of Claim 20 is incorporated; and Wrede further discloses:

- wherein the method is repeated if a fault occurs in the wireless transmission of at least one of the legitimization and the program data (see Paragraph [0036], "However, if it is

determined in step 104 that the control unit is not yet ready to receive, a jump is made back to step 103 where it is determined again whether the operating state of the motor vehicle still corresponds to the predefined operating state.").

As per Claim 24, the rejection of Claim 22 is incorporated; and Wrede further discloses:

- wherein at least one of the program data and the legitimization is forwarded via a wired connection from the interface to the device (see Paragraph [0023], "Central vehicle computer ZFR is connected via a second bi-directional data bus DB2 to the peripheral control units on the user side actually to be programmed, i.e. destination control units SG1, SG2, etc., for the data or program exchange.").

Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wrede in view
of Banas as applied to Claim 23 above, and further in view of US 2004/0054444 (hereinafter
"Abeska").

As per Claim 25, the rejection of Claim 23 is incorporated; however, <u>Wrede</u> and <u>Banas</u> do not disclose:

 prior to the remote transmission of the program data to the interface, reading second data from the memory of the device and transmitting the second data to the control station.

Abeska discloses:

 prior to a remote transmission of program data to an interface, reading second data from a memory of a device and transmitting the second data to a control station (see Paragraph

Art Unit: 2191

[0050], "When a trigger for data upload occurs, the client vehicle 10, 210 may place a call to the call center to initiate a data upload request. The call center may then verify that the client vehicle 10, 210 is an active service subscriber, and request the uploading of any data that may be pending. After data is uploaded and confirmed received by the call center, the vehicle control processor 245 may clear the memory that was used to store the data, which may then be free to store new data.").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of <u>Abeska</u> into the teaching of <u>Wrede</u> to modify <u>Wrede</u>'s invention to include prior to the remote transmission of the program data to the interface, reading second data from the memory of the device and transmitting the second data to the control station. The modification would be obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to collect and store vehicle information in order to provide services or determine vehicle modules that need to be reprogrammed or replaced (see <u>Abeska</u> – Paragraphs [0002] to [0004]).

 Claims 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wrede in view of Banas and Nakada as applied to Claim 24 above, and further in view of Abeska.

As per Claim 26, the rejection of Claim 24 is incorporated; however, <u>Wrede</u>, <u>Banas</u>, and <u>Nakada</u> do not disclose:

 prior to the remote transmission of the program data to the interface, reading second data from the memory of the device and transmitting the second data to the control station. Application/Control Number: 10/564,208 Page 19

Art Unit: 2191

Abeska discloses:

- prior to a remote transmission of program data to an interface, reading second data from a memory of a device and transmitting the second data to a control station (see Paragraph [0050], "When a trigger for data upload occurs, the client vehicle 10, 210 may place a call to the call center to initiate a data upload request. The call center may then verify that the client vehicle 10, 210 is an active service subscriber, and request the uploading of any data that may be pending. After data is uploaded and confirmed received by the call center, the vehicle control processor 245 may clear the memory that was used to store the data, which may then be free to store new data.").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of <u>Abeska</u> into the teaching of <u>Wrede</u> to modify <u>Wrede</u>'s invention to include prior to the remote transmission of the program data to the interface, reading second data from the memory of the device and transmitting the second data to the control station. The modification would be obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to collect and store vehicle information in order to provide services or determine vehicle modules that need to be reprogrammed or replaced (see <u>Abeska</u> – Paragraphs [0002] to [0004]).

As per Claim 27, the rejection of Claim 26 is incorporated; and Wrede further discloses:

 wherein the second data are buffered at the interface prior to being transmitted to the control station (see Paragraph [0023], "Communications device KE routes the transmitted

Art Unit: 2191

program data via a data bus DB1 to a central vehicle computer ZFR, in which the data is checked, recorded and buffered.").

As per Claim 28, the rejection of Claim 26 is incorporated; however, <u>Wrede</u>, <u>Banas</u>, and Nakada do not disclose:

- wherein the control station arranges the program data on the basis of the second data.
 <u>Abeska</u> discloses:
- wherein a control station arranges program data on the basis of second data (see

 Paragraph [0033], "This VUI access may allow subscribers in their vehicles equipped in

 accordance with the present invention to access a variety of services. For example, subscribers

 210 may request route information or travel information or may provide information about their

 route, using voice commands in a conversational manner. Furthermore, the subscriber may have
 the ability to interrupt or suspend the session if required. In one embodiment of the invention,
 connections are made to the service management application 240 through the public telephone
 system."; Paragraph [0039], "In one embodiment of the invention, the services are provided by
 any suitable weather reporting service. Weather services 248 may be used to receive and store
 regional and local weather information for playback to interested subscribers 210. Furthermore,
 the weather content can be delivered based on the vehicle location by coordinating the weather
 zone with the vehicle GPS location. The weather service 248 and/or content feed may be colocated with the service management system 240.").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of <u>Abeska</u> into the teaching of <u>Wrede</u> to modify

Art Unit: 2191

Wrede's invention to include wherein the control station arranges the program data on the basis of the second data. The modification would be obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to provide a variety of services to a vehicle based on the vehicle's data/information.

Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.
- 15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Qing Chen whose telephone number is 571-270-1071. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM. The Examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Wei Zhen, can be reached on 571-272-3708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 2100 Group receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Q. C./

Examiner, Art Unit 2191

/Anna Deng/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2191